



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,472 27572 7	03/23/2001	David A. Goodmanson	8893-000003	6519
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		P.L.C.	EXAMINER TRAN LIEN, THUY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761 DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 09/815,472 GOODMANSON, DAVID A. Examiner Art Unit Lien T Tran 1761 . -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)
	a) 🔟 The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER 706.07(f).
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
l	(3) CFR 1.191(0)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal
	2.23 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
1	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
1	(b) 🖾 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
1	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: 1-13,15-18 and 27-36.
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected: 37-40.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8	B.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
ç	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
1	D. ☐ Other:



Ä

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment will be entered in part. The amendment to claim 37 will not be entered because it raises new issue and also raises the issue of new matter. The percentage was not claimed previously. The limitation is not supported by the original disclosure. The percentage disclosed in paragraph 6 refers to the rework dough; it does not refer to the reprocessed batter. The disclosure in paragraph 20 indicates the percentage of usage; it does not disclose the percentage of reprocessed batter in a dough for use in a baked good.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is not persuasive with respect to claim 37 because claim 37 will not be entered.